2015 International Symposium on Extreme Ultraviolet Lithograph



# **Absorption coefficient and Dill parameters** of CAR and non-CAR resists at EUV

Roberto Fallica<sup>1</sup>, Jason Stowers<sup>2</sup>, Michaela Vockenhuber<sup>1</sup>, Yasin Ekinci<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Laboratory for Micro and Nanotechnology, Paul Scherrer Institute, 5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland <sup>2</sup> Inpria Corporation, 2001 NW Monroe Ave., STE203, 97330 Corvallis OR, USA

corresponding author: roberto.fallica@psi.ch

Background

Photoresists for extreme ultraviolet (EUV) lithography



Novel metal oxide-based resists are expected to





#### PAUL SCHERRER INSTITU

Onpria

## Results

## Log of transmittance vs. resist thickness



For all samples,  $ln(T_x)$  varies linearly with d: **homogenous absorption**. Dashed lines are linear  $\mu$   $\sigma_d$ fit, weighted on  $\sigma_{l_0}$  and  $\sigma_d$ ; their slope gives  $\alpha$ .



Dill parameters define how the absorption of a photoresist varies after exposure (A), its final value (B) and its rate of change (C).

	<b>α<sub>meas</sub></b> [μm <sup>-1</sup> ]	α[6] [μm <sup>-1</sup> ]	<b>Α</b> [μm <sup>-1</sup> ]	<b>Β</b> [μm <sup>-1</sup> ]	C [cm²/mJ]
<b>PMMA</b> organic, not CA	5.9 ± 0.6	5.3 <sup>[1]</sup>	0.02	5.9	0.22
<b>HSQ</b> inorganic, not CA	7.0 ± 0.9	5.6 <sup>[1]</sup>	0.01	7.0	0.08
<b>EUV resist 1</b> organic, CA	4.2 ± 2.3	5 <sup>[1]</sup>	0.20	4.0	0.06
<b>EUV resist 2</b> organic, CA	5.3 ± 1.6	n/a	0.19	5.1	0.05
Inpria YABA metal oxide based	15.3 ± 1.4	20 <sup>[5]</sup>	0.42	15	0.15
Inpria YF metal oxide based	19.2 ± 6.4	20 <sup>[5]</sup>	0.72	18	0.17

Absorption  $\alpha$  of PMMA from literature: 5<sup>[3]</sup>  $\mu$ m<sup>-1</sup> and 4.8<sup>[4]</sup>  $\mu$ m<sup>-1</sup>. As reported by other studies<sup>[4]</sup>, most polymers have  $\alpha \approx 3-5 \ \mu m^{-1}$ .

Conclusions

- We developed a methodology for the measurement of the absorption coefficient and Dill parameters of photoresists at EUV.
- Our results are consistent with those reported previously for PMMA, and with theoretical estimation.
- Metal oxide-based resists absorb up to x4 more photons than chemically amplified resists.
- In all EUV resists studied in this work, the Dill parameter  $A \ll B$ , contrarily to pre-EUV resists.

### References

[1] B.L. Henke et al., X-ray interactions: photoabsorption, scattering, transmission, and reflection at E=50-30000 eV, Z=1-92, Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables Vol. 54 (no.2), 181-342 (July 1993) [2] R. Ohnishi et al., Transmission Measurement Using Extreme Ultraviolet Light for the Development of Extreme Ultraviolet Resist, Japanese Journal of Applied Physics 6, 48 (2009) [3] G.D. Kubiak et al., Characterization of chemically amplified resists for soft x-ray projection lithography, Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology B 10, 2593 (1992) Acknowledgements [4] N.N. Matsuzawa et al., Theoretical Estimation of Absorption Coefficients of Various Polymers at 13 nm, Microelectronic Engineering 53, 671 (2000) Simon Tschupp (PSI) for AFM [5] A. Grenville et al., Integrated Fab Process for Metal Oxide EUV Photoresist, Proc. of SPIE Vol. 9425 94250S-1 measurement and fruitful discussion. [6] Values used in the theoretical estimation of  $\alpha$ : HSQ (Si<sub>8</sub>O<sub>12</sub>H<sub>8</sub>) density 1.4 g/cm<sup>3</sup>; PMMA (C<sub>5</sub>O<sub>2</sub>H<sub>8</sub>), MW 950k, 4%, density 1.2 g/cm<sup>3</sup>.