### 3D Reticle Effects for High-NA EUV Lithography



Jens Timo Neumann, Paul Gräupner, Johannes Ruoff, Winfried Kaiser, Reiner Garreis, Bernd Geh 2012 International Symposium on Extreme Ultraviolet Lithography Brussels, Belgium 30 September - 4 October 2012

Carl Zeiss SMT

October 3, 2012





# NXE:3100 enables resolution for half-pitches 30nm and below. Future scaling to 10nm and below requires increased NA > 0.33.





#### We see design solutions for EUV high NA systems enabling 11 nm resolution and beyond.



schematic designs - for illustration only



#### (W. Kaiser, Sematech Litho Forum 2012)

#### **Reflective mask requires oblique illumination.**





# Simply increasing the NA would lead to intersecting light cones of illuminator and projection optics.





#### Two ways out: Increase CRAO - or increase demagnification.





### Absorber shadowing ("effective line width") is angular dependent!

Absorber induced shadowing (simplified, geometric illustration)





#### Key effect: shadowing varies over incoming light cone.





## Tuning of multilayer stack changes the reflectivity behavior.









## Absorber shadowing can be compensated by ML tuning, but ...







#### Tradeoff between contrast and efficiency. Contrast and efficiency are recovered for mag 6X (reduced angles @ mask).





#### Dense contacts @ NA 0.45, CRAO 9°:





k1	ML tuning f		
[1]	[1]		
0.46	1.00		
0.46	1.03		

#### High NA EUVL – There is a wide solution space.





#### Example options high NA: Need to assess the options and make the right choice.

	6M			
unit	Full Field	Half Field	Quarter Field	
System specifications				
NA	0.45	> 0.45	0.50	
magnification	6x	5x	8x	
CRAO	6° - 7°	7°	6°	
relative transmission 3300=100%	100%	80%	100%	
mask size inch	9	6	6	
min. pupil fill ratio (etendue limit)	9%	12%	15%	
scan field mm x mm	26 x 33	16.5 x 26	13 x 16.5	
scan direction			1 1 1 1	
Resolution (NILS=2 - Aerial Image)				
resolution limit L&S (PFR=16%) nm	8.9	8.5	8.0	
resolution limit CH (PFR=20%) nm	11.8	11.2	10.7	





#### Conclusions

### Our judgment: CRAO $\leq 7^{\circ}$

#### Higher NA in EUV are feasible (all designs have central obscuration)

- $\sim 0.45$  NA for 6 mirrors.
- $\sim 0.6$  NA for 8 mirrors.

#### **CRAO** limit drives larger demagnification for higher NA.

Due to 3D mask effects and based on current mask technology the min half pitch at mask level will be limited to > 50 nm (~ 13 nm @ wafer level, 4X).

#### Larger demagnification eases mask specs.

(CDU, registration, defects, surface flatness (quadratic!)).

#### Larger demagnification reduces scan field size.

Restoring field size would require larger masks (7" or 9") infrastructure build up would need industry initiative and consensus. Current baseline for us is 6" mask.





#### Acknowledgements

- IMEC
  - Vicky Philipsen
  - Eric Hendrickx
  - Rik Jonkheere

- LBNL
  - Patrick Naulleau
  - Eric Gullikson
  - Rick Chao
- ASML
  - Natalia Davydova
  - Steve Hansen
  - Bill Arnold











### We make it visible.

